

United States Patent and Trademark Office

WILL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,739	01/16/2002	Matthew M. Borg	10010697	8645
57299	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.			QUIETT, CARRAMAH J	
P.O. BOX 192	20			
DENVER, CO 80201-1920			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			DATE MAILED, 07/27/200	C

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/051,739	BORG, MATTHEW M.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Carramah J. Quiett	2622
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHOWHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DOTAINS OF T	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 12 M.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 15-21</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) D Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/12/2006</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment(s), filed on 05/12/2006, have been entered and made of record. Claims 1-6 and 14-21 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 05/12/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

For claim 1, Applicant asserts that Fowler (U.S. Pat. #6,424,375) in view of Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,002,123) does not disclose a switching device selectively coupled to one of the reset lines in the rows of pixels; and a reference voltage source coupled between a second ground and one of the reset lines via the switching device, wherein the reference voltage source generates a ground referenced reset voltage that is independent of the supply voltage and the first and second grounds have the same potential. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As far as the claimed switching device is concerned, the Applicant argues the citing of Tartagni et al. (Tartagni), which is incorporated by reference in Fowler. Particularly, the Applicant wants to know how to modify Fowler so that switch 122 can couple a reference voltage source to a reset line for multiple pixels without deviating from the operating principles of Fowler. In response to the Applicant's questions and concerns, the Examiner did not cite Tartagni to show how to modify Fowler so that switch 122 can couple a reference voltage source to a reset line for multiple pixels. Tartagni was cited to demonstrate that the pixel device of Fowler is applicable to any image sensor (Fowler, col. 3, lines 21-33). Based on that, it is

Art Unit: 2622

inherent that Fowler in view of Suzuki teaches a plurality of rows of pixels including a switching device selectively coupled to one of the reset lines in the rows of pixels as well as the other claimed elements.

As for the reference voltage source, the Examiner has taken Official Notice that is it well known in the art for a reference voltage source to generate a ground reference reset voltage. Modifying Fowler in view of Suzuki with a ground reference reset voltage provides high-accuracy feedback for removing noise. The Examiner has utilized Suzuki to teach that the reference voltage source generates a reset voltage is independent of the supply voltage. However, the Applicant asserts that Suzuki is silent as to the reference voltage source, whether supply dependent or independent. In figure 1, Suzuki illustrates reset lines (16) being driven by a scanner (20). Respectfully, one skilled in the art can see that the VDD line (supply voltage) is not connected to the controls of the scanner (20). Based on that, the reset lines are independent of the supply voltage (Suzuki, col. 3, line 45 –col. 4, line 23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-6, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fowler (U.S. Pat. #6,424,375) in view of Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,002,123).

For claim 1, Fowler discloses an image capture system (fig. 1) comprising,

• a plurality of rows of pixels (col. 3, lines 22-32)*, each row comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/051,739

Art Unit: 2622

a reset line for providing a reset signal (col. 3, lines 22-32; col. 3, lines 55-58; col.
4, lines 20-22 and 31-67)*;

Page 4

- a plurality of pixels (col. 3, lines 22-32)*, each pixel (100) comprising:
- a first FET (108) having a gate terminal (109) coupled to the reset line (col. 3, lines 55-58; col. 4, lines 20-22 and 31-67), a drain terminal (113) coupled to a supply voltage (V_{dd}; col. 4, lines 25-27), and a source terminal (111) coupled to a readout node (V_{pd}; 110; col. 3, lines 39-42 and col. 4, lines 21-29); and
- a photodetector coupled (112) between a first ground and the readout node (V_{pd};
 110; col. 3, lines 39-42 and col. 4, lines 21-29);
- a switching device (122) selectively coupled to one of the reset lines in the rows of pixels (col. 3, lines 22-32; col. 4, lines 30-34); and
- a reference voltage source (V_{pr}) coupled between a second ground and one of the
 reset lines via the switching device (col. 4, lines 7-19), wherein the reference voltage
 source generates a reset voltage (V_g; col. 4, lines 7-19 and 30-67) and the first and
 second grounds have the same potential (col. 7, line 61-66).

However, Fowler does not expressly teach that the reference voltage source generates a ground referenced reset voltage that is independent of the supply voltage.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that is it well known in the art for a reference voltage source to generate a ground reference reset voltage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor of Fowler with a reference voltage source to generate a ground reference reset voltage. This modification provides high-accuracy feedback for removing noise in image signals.

Art Unit: 2622

In the same field of endeavor, Suzuki teaches that the reference voltage source generates a reset voltage that is independent of the supply voltage (figs. 1-4; col. 3, line 45 –col. 4, line 23 and col. 4, lines 40-52). In light of the teaching of Suzuki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor of Fowler with a reference voltage source which generates a reset voltage that is independent of the supply voltage in order to prevent blooming thereby expanding the image sensor's dynamic range (Suzuki, col. 4, lines 31-39).

*Note: Fowler incorporates a reference that teaches the plurality of row of pixels and a reset line as claimed above. That particular reference was provided in the previous Office Action.

For **claim 3**, Fowler, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses an image capture system wherein the first FET further comprises an n-channel enhancement mode MOSFET (Fowler, col. 3, line 60).

For **claim 4**, Fowler, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses an image capture system wherein the reset voltage is greater than the supply voltage (Suzuki, figs. 3-4; col. 4, lines 40-52).

For **claim 5**, Fowler, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses an image capture system comprising a second FET (114) having a gate terminal coupled to the readout node (V_{pd}; 110) and a drain terminal coupled to the supply voltage. In fig. 1, Fowler illustrates circuits 102 and 104, the drain terminal of second FET (114) is connected to the supply voltage, V_{dd}, and the gate terminal is connected to the first FET (108) via a node (110). Also read Fowler, col. 3, lines 39-43.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,739

Art Unit: 2622

For claim 6, Fowler, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses an image capture system comprising a third FET (116) having a gate terminal coupled to a row select line, a source terminal coupled to a column line, and a drain terminal coupled to a source terminal of the second FET. See Fowler, figure 1 and read col. 3, lines 39-43. The pixel of Fowler is a typical CMOS Active Pixel Sensor (APS) (col. 3,lines 21-54). Therefore, it is inherent for the transistor (116) to have a row select line at the gate terminal and a column line at the source terminal.

Page 6

For claim 14, Fowler, as modified by Suzuki, discloses the image capture system wherein the switching device (Fowler, fig. 1, refs. 106 and 122) comprises a multiplexer. The compare module (106) and the switch (122) receive signals from V_r , V_{pr} , or V_g , and the output of V_2 to reset transistor (108). Therefore, the compare module (106) and the switch (122) inherently multiplex signals from V_r , V_{pr} , and V_g .

5. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dhuse et al. (U.S. Pat. #6,133,862) in view of Suzuki (U.S. Pat. #6,002,123).

For **claim 15**, Dhuse discloses a method comprising:

- providing a first reset (V_{reset1}) signal to a row of pixels (col. 6, line 65 col. 7, line 9),
 the first reset signal being derived from a reference voltage (col. 7, lines 46-51);
- resetting pixels in the row of pixels (col. 6, line 65 col. 7, line 9) in response to the
 first reset signal (V_{reset1}) using a supply voltage, the supply voltage being different
 from the reference voltage;

Application/Control Number: 10/051,739

Art Unit: 2622

In col. 7, lines 45-51, Dhuse teaches that the sensor array is reset to a value of V_{reset} , which is approximately the supply voltage (V_{reset} approximately V_{cc} - V_{TM1} ; col. 5, line 19-24);

Page 7

- reading a first plurality of voltage values generated at the pixels following a light exposure interval (col. 5, line 57 col. 6, line 1; col. 6, line 65 col. 7, line 9);
- providing a second reset signal (V_{reset2}) to the row of pixels (col. 6, line 65 col. 7, line 9), the second reset signal being derived from the reference voltage (col. 7, lines 54-56);
- reading a second voltage value from the pixel (col. 7, lines 56-59); and
- generating a plurality of pixel values using the first and the second pluralities of voltage values (col. 6, line 65 col. 7, line 9; col. 7, lines 51-54 and 59-67).

However, Dhuse does not teach a ground referenced reset voltage that is independent of a supply voltage. The Examiner takes Official Notice that is it well known in the art for a reference voltage source to generate a ground reference reset voltage. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor of Dhuse with a reference voltage source to generate a ground reference reset voltage. This modification provides high-accuracy feedback for removing noise in image signals.

In the same field of endeavor, Suzuki teaches a reference voltage that is independent of a supply voltage (figs. 1-4; col. 3, line 45 –col. 4, line 23 and col. 4, lines 40-52). In light of the teaching of Suzuki, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sensor of Dhuse with a reference voltage that is independent

Art Unit: 2622

of a supply voltage in order to prevent blooming thereby expanding the image sensor's dynamic range (Suzuki, col. 4, lines 31-39).

For **claim 16**, Dhuse, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses a method wherein the plurality of pixel values equal the corresponding second plurality of voltage values minus the corresponding first plurality of voltage values (Dhuse, col. 7, lines 59-66).

For **claim 17**, Dhuse, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses a method wherein the first plurality of voltage values are approximately proportional to light intensities detected by the pixels during the light exposure interval (Dhuse, col. 5, line 57 – col. 6, line 9).

For **claim 18**, Dhuse, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses a method comprising repeating the providing a first reset signal, reading a first plurality of voltage values, providing a second reset signal, reading, and generating a plurality of pixel values for another row of pixels (Dhuse, col. 7, lines 45-67).

For **claim 19**, Dhuse, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses a method wherein the generating is performed by a column circuit (Dhuse, col. 7, lines 10-35).

For **claim 20**, Dhuse, as modified by Suzuki, further discloses a method wherein the reading a first plurality of voltage values comprises exposing photodiodes to incident light (Dhuse, col. 4, lines 7-22).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 2 is allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2622

Claim 2 is allowed because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the image capture system, comprising: an operational amplifier buffer comprising (1) an output coupled by the switching device to one of the reset lines (2) a non-inverting input coupled to the reference voltage source to receive the reset voltage, and (3) an inverting input coupled to the output in a feedback loop, wherein the feedback loop does not pass through the readout node.

- 8. Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 21 is allowed because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the image capture system of claim 6, further comprising an operational amplifier comprising (1) an output coupled by the switching device to one of the reset lines, (2) a non-inverting input coupled to the reference voltage source to receive the reset voltage, and (3) an inverting input coupled to the output in a feedback loop, wherein the feedback loop does not pass through the readout node.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kuroda et al. (U.S. Pat. #6,469,740) A physical quantity distribution sensor including a plurality of unit cells.

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carramah J. Quiett whose telephone number is (571) 272-7316. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CJQ July 21, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER